



Available online at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

ScienceDirect

journal homepage: [www.jfma-online.com](http://www.jfma-online.com)



## Clinical Practice

# Recommendations for treating stage I-III periodontitis in the Taiwanese population: A consensus report from the Taiwan Academy of Periodontology



Jung-Tsu Chen<sup>a,b</sup>, I-Ting Wu<sup>c</sup>, Ren-Yeong Huang<sup>d</sup>,  
Yi-Chun Lin<sup>e</sup>, Yu-Hsiang Chou<sup>f</sup>, Taichen Lin<sup>g,h</sup>, Po-Jan Kuo<sup>d</sup>,  
Che-Chang Tu<sup>a,b</sup>, Lein-Tuan Hou<sup>b</sup>, Yu-Lin Lai<sup>e</sup>, Hsein-Kun Lu<sup>i</sup>,  
Chi-Cheng Tsai<sup>g</sup>, Kuo Yuan<sup>j</sup>, Chun-Jung Chen<sup>k</sup>,  
Cheng-Sheng Ho<sup>l</sup>, Yueh-Chao Yang<sup>m</sup>, Aaron Yu-Jen Wu<sup>n</sup>,  
Kuo-Ching Huang<sup>o</sup>, Cheng-Yang Chiang<sup>d</sup>, Po-Chun Chang<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Graduate Institute of Clinical Dentistry, School of Dentistry, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan

<sup>b</sup> Department of Dentistry, National Taiwan University Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan

<sup>c</sup> Department of Dentistry, China Medical University Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan

<sup>d</sup> School of Dentistry, Tri-Service General Hospital, National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan

<sup>e</sup> Department of Dentistry, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan

<sup>f</sup> School of Dentistry, College of Dental Medicine, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan

<sup>g</sup> School of Dentistry, College of Oral Medicine, Chung Shan Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan

<sup>h</sup> Department of Dentistry, Chung Shan Medical University Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan

<sup>i</sup> School of Dentistry, College of Oral Medicine, Taipei Medical University, Taipei, Taiwan

<sup>j</sup> Institute of Oral Medicine, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan

<sup>k</sup> Department of Dentistry, Chi-Mei Medical Center, Tainan, Taiwan

<sup>l</sup> Private Practice, Taichung, Taiwan

<sup>m</sup> Department of Dentistry, Cathay General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan

<sup>n</sup> Department of Dentistry, Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Kaohsiung, Taiwan

<sup>o</sup> Private Practice, Tainan, Taiwan

Received 15 June 2021; received in revised form 16 June 2021; accepted 29 June 2021

## KEYWORDS

Periodontitis;  
Practice guideline;  
Periodontal

**Background/Purpose:** Based on the fundamental of the S3-level clinical practice guideline (CPG) for treating stage I-III periodontitis developed by the European Federation of Periodontology (EFP), this consensus report aimed to develop treatment recommendations for treating periodontitis in the Taiwanese population.

\* Corresponding author. Graduate Institute of Clinical Dentistry, School of Dentistry, National Taiwan University, Taiwan.  
E-mail address: [changpc@ntu.edu.tw](mailto:changpc@ntu.edu.tw) (P.-C. Chang).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfma.2021.06.029>

0929-6646/Copyright © 2021, Formosan Medical Association. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

treatment;  
Asians

**Methods:** The report was constructed by experts from the Taiwan Academy of Periodontology. The following topics were reviewed: (a) the prevalence of periodontitis in Asia and current status of treatment in Taiwan; (b) specific anatomical considerations for treating periodontitis in Asians; (d) educational and preventive interventions and supragingival plaque control; (d) subgingival instrumentation and adjunctive treatment; (e) surgical periodontal therapy; and (f) maintenance and supportive periodontal care. Recommendations were made according to the evidences from the EFP CPG, the published literature and clinical studies in Asians, and the expert opinions.

**Results:** The treatment recommendations for the Taiwanese population were generally in parallel with the EFP CPG, and extra cautions during treatment and maintenance phases were advised due to the anatomical variations, such as shorter root trunk, higher prevalence of supernumerary distolingual root and lingual bony concavity in mandibular posteriors, and thinner anterior labial plate, of the Asian population.

**Conclusion:** The EFP CPG could be adopted for treating periodontitis and maintaining periodontal health of the Taiwanese population, and anatomical variations should be cautious when the treatment is delivered.

Copyright © 2021, Formosan Medical Association. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## Contents

|                                                                                                                |      |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| Introduction .....                                                                                             | 2072 |
| The prevalence of periodontitis in Asia and current status of treatment in Taiwan .....                        | 2074 |
| Specific anatomical considerations for treating periodontitis in Asians .....                                  | 2075 |
| Tooth-associated variations .....                                                                              | 2075 |
| Shorter root trunk .....                                                                                       | 2075 |
| Supernumerary distolingual root (DLR) .....                                                                    | 2075 |
| Periodontium-associated variations (soft and hard tissues) .....                                               | 2075 |
| Recommendations for the educational and preventive interventions and supragingival plaque control (Table 4) .. | 2075 |
| Recommendations for subgingival instrumentation and adjunctive treatment (Table 5) .....                       | 2078 |
| Recommendations for surgical periodontal therapy (Table 6) .....                                               | 2078 |
| Recommendations for the maintenance and supportive periodontal care (Table 7) .....                            | 2085 |
| Declaration of competing interest .....                                                                        | 2085 |
| Acknowledgments .....                                                                                          | 2085 |
| References .....                                                                                               | 2085 |

## Introduction

To establish professional recommendations for treating periodontitis in the Taiwanese population, the Taiwan Academy of Periodontology (TAP) adopted the concept of the EFP S3 level clinical practice guideline for the treatment of stage I–III periodontitis<sup>1</sup> and invited local experts in periodontics to organize a consensus conference in Taipei, Taiwan, on October 11, 2020. The conference was organized by Professor Cheng-Yang Chiang, the President of TAP, Professor Po-Chun Chang, the Chairman of the Publication Committee and the official editor of TAP, Dr. Yu-Jen Wu, the Chairman of the Education Committee of TAP, and Dr. Kuo-Ching Huang, the Director of the Board Council of TAP.

The committee was formed of editorial panels from five major periodontal specialty training institutes in Taiwan, including Drs. Jung-Tsu Chen and Che-Chang Tu (National Taiwan University), Yu-Hsiang Chou (Kaohsiung Medical

University), Ren-Yeong Huang and Po-Jan Kuo (National Defense Medical Center), Taichen Lin (Chung Shan Medical University), Yi-Chun Lin (Taipei Veterans General Hospital), and I-Ting Wu (China Medical University). The reviewing panels of experienced periodontists in Taiwan included Professors Lein-Tuan Hou, Yu-Ling Lai, Hsein-Kun Lu, Chi-Cheng Tsai, Kuo Yuan, and Drs. Chun-Jung Chen, Cheng-Sheng Ho, and Yueh-Chao Yang, and four organizers of the consensus meeting. Prior to the consensus meeting, the organizers collectively assigned the following topics to editorial panels for preliminary review:

1. The prevalence of periodontitis in Asia and current status of treatment in Taiwan
2. Specific anatomical considerations for treating periodontitis in Asians
3. Educational and preventive interventions and supragingival plaque control (parallel to the EFP recommendations for the first step of therapy)

4. Subgingival instrumentation and adjunctive treatment (parallel to the EFP recommendations for the second step of therapy)
5. Surgical periodontal therapy (parallel to the EFP recommendations for the third step of therapy)
6. Maintenance and supportive periodontal care (parallel to the EFP Recommendations for the fourth step of therapy)

Based on the evidences from the EFP practice guidelines, the published literature and clinical studies of the Asian population, and their clinical experience, the editorial panels made preliminary recommendations for treating the Taiwanese population prior to meeting. During the consensus meeting, the six topics with recommendations from editorial panels were thoroughly assessed by the reviewing panels, and the major point of judgment was primarily based on the difference in anatomy between Western and Asian populations as well as the strength of evidence from the EFP practice guidelines. The reviewing panels, together with the editorial panels, discussed all of the clinical recommendations raised in the EFP practice guidelines until a consensus was reached (>70% of the committee agreed with the recommendation), and the 'strong' consensus was defined as all committees agreeing with the recommendation.

The consensus was then organized by the editorial and the reviewing panels in Chinese and English. Based on the dissemination plan of TAP, the Chinese version of consensus report is published in a supplemental issue of the *Journal of the Taiwan Academy of Periodontology* (<https://doi.org/10.3966/102799622020122502002>).<sup>2</sup>

### The prevalence of periodontitis in Asia and current status of treatment in Taiwan

Based on the population data available in the WHO Global Oral Health Data Bank in 2011, among 35–44 year-old

subjects, approximately 50% population in the South-East Asia region and 40% population in the Western Pacific region had a maximal community periodontal index (CPI) score of 3 or 4.<sup>3</sup> Table 1 lists nationwide studies for the prevalence of periodontitis in Asia after 2000. In general, 40–60% population was affected by periodontitis, and the prevalence was higher in the elderly population. The prevalence of severe chronic periodontitis (CPI score = 4) in 2010, as reported by Kassebaum et al. after age-standardization, was 10–14% in Asia.<sup>4</sup>

In Taiwan, most inhabitants were covered by the National Health Insurance (NHI) system, and periodontal treatments under NHI included regular prophylaxis, root planing, and access flap surgery (AFS). Because of the high incidence of periodontitis in Taiwan, a NHI-funded comprehensive periodontal treatment project (CPTP) has been implemented for treating patients with moderate to severe periodontitis since 2010. CPTP covered non-surgical periodontal therapy, including full mouth subgingival instrumentation, oral hygiene instruction, and dental plaque control, of adult patients with at least 6 teeth of  $\geq 5$  mm probing pocket depth (PPD), and encouraged regular supportive periodontal care. As Jhang et al. reported, CPTP contributed to 1.64 mm PPD reduction and 1.25 clinical attachment level (CAL) gain in sites with initial  $\geq 5$  mm CAL.<sup>5</sup> Compared with conventional scaling and root planing (SRp), CPTP combined with a postcard recall system reduced the incidence of moderate and deep pockets, bleeding on probing, plaque score, and tooth loss over 3.8 years.<sup>6</sup> Based on the report from the Taiwan Dental Association, 173,073 patients completed CPTP in 2019, and the mean PPD reduction was 0.73 mm, whereas in sites with an initial PPD  $\geq 5$  mm, the mean PPD reduction was 2.79 mm, with a 29.16% plaque score reduction. The data from the NHI Research Database also revealed that patients receiving CPTP had substantially lower rates of retreatment, endodontic therapy, surgical restoration, and tooth extraction than those receiving SRp only over 18 months.<sup>7</sup>

**Table 1** Nationwide studies for the prevalence of periodontitis in Asia.

| Country   | Year      | Criteria of periodontitis | Findings                                                                                                       | Reference |
|-----------|-----------|---------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| India     | 2002–2003 | CAL $\geq 4$ mm           | 34–44 years: 57.1%<br>65–74 years: 60.6%                                                                       | 28        |
| Taiwan    | 2008      | CPI score $\geq 3$        | All adults: 56.2%<br>35–44 years: 53.1%<br>45–64 years: 68.6%<br>$\geq 65$ years: 73.4%                        | 29        |
| Hong Kong | 2011      | PPD $\geq 4$ mm           | 35–44 years: 39.6%<br>65–74 years (non-institutionalized): 59.2%<br>$\geq 65$ years (institutionalized): 56.4% | 30        |
| Korea     | 2014      | CPI score $\geq 3$        | All adults: 41.1%                                                                                              | 31        |
| Japan     | 2016      | CPI score $\geq 3$        | All adults: 49.4%<br>35–44 years: 42.6%<br>45–64 years: 52.0%<br>$\geq 65$ years: 54.5%                        | 32        |
| China     | 2018      | PPD $\geq 4$ mm           | 35–44 years: 52.7%<br>55–64 years: 69.3%<br>65–74 years: 64.6%                                                 | 33,34     |

Abbreviations: CAL: clinical attachment level; CPI: community periodontal index; PPD: probing pocket depth.

## Specific anatomical considerations for treating periodontitis in Asians

### Tooth-associated variations

Previous studies demonstrated that complex dental anatomical variations may contribute to the retention of bacterial biofilms, which can lead to the increasing difficulty of carrying out periodontal therapy, compromising the prognosis. Knowledge of the anatomy of the oral structures, especially root anatomy, is therefore necessary for the diagnosis and management of existing or potential periodontal breakdown.<sup>8,9</sup>

The subsequent paragraphs described two major tooth-associated variations in Asians, including a short root trunk and a supernumerary distolingual root of the molars, and specific considerations in formulating diagnostic and treatment plans for these furcation-involved molars.

**Shorter root trunk.** Hou et al. reported that the root trunk from extracted molars in Taiwanese patients was generally 1–2 mm shorter than those in Western studies (Table 2).<sup>10</sup> A molar with a shorter root trunk is more vulnerable to bacterial invasion and furcation involvement but has a better prognosis after treatment because of the limited amount of periodontal destruction.<sup>11</sup> In contrast, a furcation-involved molar with a longer root trunk and short root cone may not be indicated for root resective surgery since this molar will lose significant periodontal support consequently.<sup>11–13</sup> At the cellular level, the inherent morphological character of the root trunk beyond the furcation entrance may prevent the barrier membrane from adhering to the root surfaces and lead to unpredictable outcomes of regenerative approaches.<sup>12</sup>

**Supernumerary distolingual root (DLR).** The supernumerary distolingual root (DLR) in mandibular molars, also named “radix entomolaris” (RE) is characterized by its high prevalence in the Asian population.<sup>14,15</sup> The presence of this dental characteristic has been recognized as a genetically determined racial trait.<sup>16</sup> In Caucasians and Africans, the prevalence of DLR is less than 5%, whereas in populations with Asian traits (including Chinese, Japanese, Korean, and Taiwanese), the prevalence ranges from 5% to greater than 30% (Table 3). Among these populations, DLR is considered a normal morphological variant and it can be thought of as an Asiatic trait.<sup>16</sup> Mandibular molars with DLR make thorough debridement more difficult, leading to unfavorable outcome of periodontal regeneration and a poor prognosis. If molars with DLR have initial to moderate furcation involvement, conservative treatment options, such as ultrasonic scaling, subgingival instrumentation, regenerative therapy, or resective therapy, are recommended. However, extra caution is required when regenerative procedures are performed in DLR-involved molars, and the prognosis may be questionable because the size of the DLR is far smaller than that of a normal root. If a molar with DLR has severe furcation involvement, which means that there are through-

and-through defects, resective approaches, such as root amputation or section of the DLR, should be considered.

### Periodontium-associated variations (soft and hard tissues)

A stable tooth-supporting apparatus (i.e., alveolar bone, gingiva, and periodontal ligament) plays an important role in periodontitis progression and treatment interventions. Knowledge regarding variations in hard and soft tissue is essential for clinicians to perform detailed examinations, procedures, and treatment plans.<sup>17,18</sup> The impacts of surgically associated anatomical variations between Taiwanese/Asian and Western individuals are described as follows:<sup>19–22</sup>

First, a high prevalence and variations in the position of the mandibular foramen and anterior loop of inferior alveolar nerve were observed in the Asian population, and these variations should be considered during surgery to avoid accidental injury to the nerves and vessels extending from the mental foramen.<sup>21,22</sup> Second, lingual concavity often appears in the posterior tooth region between the second premolars and second molars, which contains critical anatomical structures (e.g., the lingual nerve and sublingual artery). A high prevalence of mandibular lingual concavity was noted in Taiwanese patients, and special caution should be taken when using clinical and radiological examinations prior to surgery.<sup>20</sup> Third, the labial bone plate of the maxillary anterior teeth is thinner in Asian populations than in Western populations. Fenestration or perforation of the labial plate is possible when there is a high prevalence of class I sagittal root position (SRP) of maxillary anterior teeth, in which the root is positioned against the labial cortical plate.<sup>19</sup> Fourth, the hard palate often serves as a donor site for either epithelized mucosa grafts or subepithelial connective tissue when carrying out periodontal mucogingival surgery. The volume/thickness of the harvested mucosa graft tissue may have an impact on the decisions of surgical modalities and on the treatment outcomes. It has been shown that the thickness of the palatal masticatory mucosa is thinner in Taiwanese patients,<sup>23,24</sup> which appear to be an anatomical restriction preventing harvesting a high quantity of mucosal graft. The results also demonstrated a thinner mucosa around the palatal root of maxillary first molar; thus, careful and skillful techniques would be essential when harvesting tissue close to the greater palatine artery.<sup>23,24</sup>

### Recommendations for the educational and preventive interventions and supragingival plaque control (Table 4)

Regarding the aspects of self-performed oral hygiene practices and risk factor control, the committees generally agree with the recommendations from the EFP clinical

**Table 2** Studies for the prevalence of root trunk length.

| Studies                       | Year | Population    | Sample size                               | Maxillary<br>1st molar |        |        | Maxillary<br>2nd molar |        |        | Mandibular<br>1st molar |         | Mandibular<br>2nd molar |         | Concluding remark                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
|-------------------------------|------|---------------|-------------------------------------------|------------------------|--------|--------|------------------------|--------|--------|-------------------------|---------|-------------------------|---------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                               |      |               |                                           | Buccal                 | Mesial | Distal | Buccal                 | Mesial | Distal | Buccal                  | Lingual | Buccal                  | Lingual |                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| Hou and Tsai <sup>10</sup>    | 1997 | Taiwan        | 89 maxillary molars, 93 mandibular molars | 3.4 mm                 | 3.6 mm | 3.7 mm | 3 mm                   | 4 mm   | 3 mm   | 1.9 mm                  | 2.9 mm  | 2.8 mm                  | 3.5 mm  | There is a strong correlation between vertical length and type of root trunk and furcation involvement                                                                                                                       |
| Kerns et al. <sup>35</sup>    | 1999 | United States | 412 extracted                             | 4.1 mm                 | 4.7 mm | 4.7 mm | 4.3 mm                 | 6.4 mm | 4.8 mm | 3.3 mm                  | 4.3 mm  | 3.3 mm                  | 3.8 mm  | The complexity of the furcation area with a large number of anatomic irregularities and plaque-retentive structures that could hamper adequate cleaning during periodontal treatment                                         |
| Gher and Dunlap <sup>13</sup> | 1985 | United States | 20 extracted maxillary first molar        | 4.2 mm                 | 3.6 mm | 4.8 mm |                        |        |        |                         |         |                         |         | Horizontal attachment loss of 6.0 mm or greater would have resulted in Grade III furcation involvement in all the teeth studied                                                                                              |
| Plagmann et al. <sup>36</sup> | 2000 | Germany       | 359 extracted molar teeth                 | 4.3 mm                 | 4.8 mm | 4.5 mm | 4.2 mm                 | 4.6 mm | 4.3 mm | 3.3 mm                  | 4.3 mm  | 3.3 mm                  | 4.5 mm  | The variability of furcation morphology, which has considerable influence on the etiology and severity of periodontitis as well as on the therapeutic success and the potential for recurrent disease or disease progression |

**Table 3** Studies for the prevalence of supernumerary distolingual root.

| Year       | Studies                               | Population        | Sample size (No. of teeth) | Distolingual root (No. of teeth) | Prevalence |
|------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|------------|
| Caucasians |                                       |                   |                            |                                  |            |
| 1971       | de Souza-Freitas et al. <sup>37</sup> | European          | 844                        | 27                               | 3.2%       |
| 1971       | Skidmore et al. <sup>38</sup>         | Caucasian         | 45                         | 1                                | 2.2%       |
| 1986       | Steelman et al. <sup>39</sup>         | Hispanic children | 156                        | 10                               | 6.4%       |
| 2009       | Schäfer et al. <sup>40</sup>          | Germany           | 1024                       | 7                                | 0.7%       |
| Negroids   |                                       |                   |                            |                                  |            |
| 2011       | Sert et al. <sup>41</sup>             | Turkish           | 417                        | 6                                | 1.44%      |
| 2011       | Chandra et al. <sup>42</sup>          | Indian            | 1000                       | 133                              | 13.30%     |
| 2012       | Chourasia et al. <sup>43</sup>        | Indian            | 150                        | 8                                | 5.30%      |
| 2013       | Garg et al. <sup>44</sup>             | Indian            | 500                        | 25                               | 5.00%      |
| Mongoloids |                                       |                   |                            |                                  |            |
| 1993       | Yew & Chan <sup>45</sup>              | Chinese           | 832                        | 179                              | 21.5%      |
| 2010       | Song et al. <sup>46</sup>             | Korean            | 3088                       | 756                              | 24.5%      |
| 2012       | Kim et al. <sup>47</sup>              | Korean            | 1400                       | 373                              | 26.6%      |
| 2013       | Park et al. <sup>48</sup>             | Korean            | 666                        | 149                              | 22.4%      |
| Taiwanese  |                                       |                   |                            |                                  |            |
| 2007       | Tu et al. <sup>14</sup>               | Taiwan            | 166                        | 35                               | 21.1%      |
| 2007       | Huang et al. <sup>15</sup>            | Taiwan            | 332                        | 72                               | 21.7%      |
| 2009       | Tu et al. <sup>49</sup>               | Taiwan            | 246                        | 63                               | 25.61%     |
| 2009       | Chen et al. <sup>50</sup>             | Taiwan            | 183                        | 36                               | 20.0%      |
| 2009       | Chen et al. <sup>51</sup>             | Taiwan            | 293                        | 29                               | 9.9%       |
| 2010       | Huang et al. <sup>52</sup>            | Taiwan            | 521                        | 115                              | 22.1%      |
| 2010       | Huang et al. <sup>53</sup>            | Taiwan            | 237                        | 60                               | 25.3%      |
| 2017       | Wu et al. <sup>54</sup>               | Taiwan            | 466                        | 115                              | 24.7%      |

**Table 4** Recommendations for the educational and preventive interventions and supragingival plaque control.

|      | EFP<br>Recommendation                                                                                                                          | EFP Evidence Level  | TAP Recommendation                                                                                                                                                                      |                 | TAP Evidence Level                                                                                                                                           |
|------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|      |                                                                                                                                                |                     | Recommendation                                                                                                                                                                          | Consensus level |                                                                                                                                                              |
| R1.1 | What are the adequate oral hygiene practices of periodontitis patients in the different steps of periodontitis therapy?                        | Strongly recommend  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review Yes<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions            | Strongest       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input type="checkbox"/> Asian studies<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions                       |
| R1.2 | Are additional strategies in motivation useful?                                                                                                | Strongly recommend  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review Yes<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Strongest       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asian studies<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions |
| R1.3 | Are psychological methods for motivation effective to improve the patient's compliance in oral hygiene practices?                              | Open recommendation | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review Indeterminable<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Strongest       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input type="checkbox"/> Asian studies<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions                       |
| R1.4 | What is the efficacy of supragingival professional mechanical plaque removal (PMPR) and control of retentive factors in periodontitis therapy? | Strongly recommend  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review Yes<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions            | Strongest       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input type="checkbox"/> Asian studies<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions                       |

(continued on next page)

**Table 4 (continued)**

|       | EFP<br>Recommendation                                                                           | EFP Evidence Level  | TAP Recommendation                                                                                                                                                       |                 | TAP Evidence Level                     |                                                                                                                                                                 |
|-------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|       |                                                                                                 |                     | Recommendation                                                                                                                                                           | Consensus level |                                        |                                                                                                                                                                 |
| R1.5  | What is the efficacy of risk factor control in periodontitis therapy?                           | Strongly recommend  | <input type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Yes             | Strongest                              | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input type="checkbox"/> Asian studies<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions                          |
| R1.6  | What is the efficacy of tobacco smoking cessation interventions in periodontitis therapy?       | Strongly recommend  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Yes             | Strongest                              | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asian studies <sup>55</sup><br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions |
| R1.7  | What is the efficacy of promotion of diabetes control interventions in periodontitis therapy?   | Strongly recommend  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Yes             | Strongest                              | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asian studies <sup>56</sup><br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions |
| R1.8  | What is the efficacy of increasing physical exercise (activity) in periodontitis therapy?       | Open recommendation | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Maybe           | Strongest                              | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asian studies <sup>57</sup><br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions |
| R1.9  | What is the efficacy of dietary counselling recommendation in periodontitis therapy?            | Open recommendation | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Maybe           | Yes (two experts voted indeterminable) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asian studies <sup>58</sup><br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions |
| R1.10 | What is the efficacy of lifestyle modifications aiming at weight loss in periodontitis therapy? | Open recommendation | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Maybe           | Yes (two experts voted indeterminable) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence <sup>59</sup><br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asian studies<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions |

Abbreviations: EFP: European Federation of Periodontology; TAP: Taiwan Academy of Periodontology; RCT: randomized controlled trial.

practice guideline.<sup>1</sup> Good oral hygiene and thorough supragingival professional mechanical plaque removal (PMPR) are important for preventing periodontal destruction. Patients who undergo periodontal therapy should quit tobacco smoking. The committee recommend diabetes control interventions in patients receiving periodontitis therapy. In addition, body weight loss and physical exercise (activity) have an impact on periodontal therapy. However, adjunctive dietary counseling in periodontal treatment is not recommended by the committee.

### Recommendations for subgingival instrumentation and adjunctive treatment (Table 5)

Regarding the aspects of subgingival instrumentation combined with hand and powered instruments and either performed quadrantwise over multiple visits or as a single full mouth procedure, the committees generally agree with the recommendations from the EFP clinical practice guideline.<sup>1</sup> It is considered inconclusive that the adjunctive application of laser or antimicrobial photodynamic therapy (aPDT) to subgingival instrumentation is superior to subgingival instrumentation alone, and the routine adjunctive use of host-modulating agents such as statins, probiotics, sub-antimicrobial doses of doxycycline (SDD), bisphosphonates, anti-inflammatory drugs, omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty

acids (PUFAs) or metformin is not recommended. However, the committees do suggest considering the use of adjunctive chemical agents, locally administered antiseptics or antibiotics in addition to subgingival instrumentation to improve the clinical outcome based on previous studies.<sup>25–27</sup> The use of adjunctive systemically administered antibiotics may be beneficial for young adults with generalized periodontitis stage III; however, the global concern about the overuse of antibiotics and the development of antibiotic resistance must be considered.

### Recommendations for surgical periodontal therapy (Table 6)

Regarding the aspects of periodontal surgical interventions for the treatment of stage III patients, the committees generally agree with the recommendations from the EFP clinical practice guidelines.<sup>1</sup> When deep residual pockets present after adequate oral hygiene instruction and subgingival instrumentation, further surgical therapies are suggested, and access flap surgery (AFS), resective surgery or regenerative surgery are the choices of interventions. Surgical therapy should be carried out by experts, including periodontal specialists and dentists with additional surgical training. If experts are not available or referral is not an option, repeated subgingival instrumentation, with or

**Table 5** Recommendations for subgingival instrumentation and adjunctive treatment.

|       |                                                                                                                                                            | EFP<br>Recommendation         | EFP Evidence Level                                                                                                                                                       | Recommendation | TAP Evidence Level                           | Consensus level                                                                                                                                                    |
|-------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| R2.1  | Is subgingival instrumentation beneficial for the treatment of periodontitis?                                                                              | <b>Strongly recommend</b>     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Yes            | Strong                                       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asian studies <sup>60</sup><br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions    |
| R2.2  | Are treatment outcomes of subgingival instrumentation better after use of hand, powered (sonic/ultrasonic) instruments or a combination thereof?           | <b>Strongly recommend</b>     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Yes            | Strong                                       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asian studies <sup>61,62</sup><br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions |
| R2.3  | Are treatment outcomes of subgingival instrumentation better when delivered quadrant-wise over multiple visits or as a full mouth procedure (within 24 h)? | <b>Recommend</b>              | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Yes            | Strong                                       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asian studies <sup>63</sup><br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions    |
| R2.4  | Are treatment outcomes with adjunctive application of laser superior to non-surgical subgingival instrumentation alone?                                    | <b>Not recommend</b>          | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Indeterminable | Yes (four experts voted for not recommended) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asian studies <sup>64,65</sup><br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions |
| R2.5  | Are treatment outcomes with adjunctive antimicrobial photodynamic therapy (aPDT) superior to non-surgical subgingival instrumentation alone?               | <b>Not recommend</b>          | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Indeterminable | Strong                                       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asian studies <sup>66–68</sup><br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions |
| R2.6  | Does the adjunctive use of local statins improve the clinical outcome of subgingival instrumentation?                                                      | <b>Strongly not recommend</b> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | No             | Yes (one expert voted for indeterminable).   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input type="checkbox"/> Asian studies<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions                             |
| R2.7  | Does the adjunctive use of probiotics improve the clinical outcome of subgingival instrumentation?                                                         | <b>Not recommend</b>          | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | No             | Yes (one expert voted for indeterminable).   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input type="checkbox"/> Asian studies<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions                             |
| R2.8  | Does the adjunctive use of systemic sub-antimicrobial dose doxycycline (SDD) to subgingival instrumentation improve clinical outcomes?                     | <b>Not recommend</b>          | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Indeterminable | Yes (Five experts voted for not recommended) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input type="checkbox"/> Asian studies<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions                  |
| R2.9  | Does the adjunctive use of systemic/local bisphosphonates to subgingival instrumentation improve clinical outcomes?                                        | <b>Strongly not recommend</b> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | No             | Strong                                       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input type="checkbox"/> Asian studies<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions                             |
| R2.10 | Does adjunctive use of systemic/local non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs to subgingival instrumentation improve the clinical outcomes?                  | <b>Strongly not recommend</b> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | No             | Strong                                       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input type="checkbox"/> Asian studies<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions                             |

(continued on next page)

**Table 5 (continued)**

|       |                                                                                                                                    | EFP<br>Recommendation                                                                                                          | EFP Evidence Level                                                                                                                                                       | Recommendation              | TAP Evidence<br>Level                                                             | Consensus level                                                                                                                                                    |
|-------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| R2.11 | Does the adjunctive use of omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) improve the clinical outcome of subgingival instrumentation? | <b>Strongly not recommend</b>                                                                                                  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | No                          | Strong                                                                            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input type="checkbox"/> Asian studies<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions                             |
| R2.12 | Does the adjunctive use of local metformin improve the clinical outcome of subgingival instrumentation?                            | <b>Strongly not recommend</b>                                                                                                  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | No                          | Strong                                                                            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input type="checkbox"/> Asian studies<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions                             |
| R2.13 | Does the adjunctive use of adjunctive chemotherapeutics (antiseptics) improve the clinical outcome of subgingival instrumentation? | <b>Open recommendation</b>                                                                                                     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Maybe                       | Strong                                                                            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input type="checkbox"/> Asian studies<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions                  |
| R2.14 | Do adjunctive locally administered antiseptics improve the clinical outcome of subgingival instrumentation?                        | <b>Open recommendation</b>                                                                                                     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Indeterminable <sup>a</sup> | Yes (two experts voted for recommended)                                           | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input type="checkbox"/> Asian studies<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions                  |
| R2.15 | Do adjunctive locally administered antibiotics improve the clinical outcome of subgingival instrumentation?                        | <b>Open recommendation</b>                                                                                                     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Maybe                       | Yes/No (five experts voted for recommended; one expert voted for not recommended) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asian studies <sup>25–27</sup><br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions |
| R2.16 | Does adjunctive systemically administered antibiotics improve the clinical outcome of subgingival instrumentation?                 | <b>1. Routine use:</b><br><b>Strongly not recommend</b><br><b>2. For specific circumstances:</b><br><b>Open recommendation</b> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | 1. No<br>2. Maybe           | Strong                                                                            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input type="checkbox"/> Asian studies<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions                  |

Abbreviations: EFP: European Federation of Periodontology; TAP: Taiwan Academy of Periodontology; RCT: randomized controlled trial.

<sup>a</sup> It should be determined based on specific clinical circumstances.

**Table 6** Recommendations for surgical periodontal therapy.

|      | EFP Recommendation                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | EFP Evidence Level                                                                                                                                                       | Recommendation                                                                                                                                                           | TAP Evidence Level          | Consensus level                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                                                                                    |
|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| R3.1 | How effective are access flaps as compared to repeated subgingival instrumentation?                                                                                                                                                                                               | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Maybe <sup>a</sup>                                                                                                                                                       | Strong                      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input type="checkbox"/> Asian studies<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions |                                                                                                                                                                    |
| R3.2 | How effective are the different access flap procedures?                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | <b>Open recommendation</b>                                                                                                                                               | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Indeterminable <sup>a</sup> | Strong                                                                                                                                            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input type="checkbox"/> Asian studies<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions                  |
| R3.3 | What is the efficacy of pocket elimination/reduction surgery in comparison with access flap surgery?                                                                                                                                                                              | <b>Recommend</b>                                                                                                                                                         | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Maybe                       | Yes (five experts voted for indeterminable)                                                                                                       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input type="checkbox"/> Asian studies<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions                             |
| R3.4 | What is the level of care required for management of deep residual pockets with or without presence of intrabony defects or furcation involvement after completion of steps 1 and 2 of periodontal therapy?                                                                       | <b>Strongly recommend</b>                                                                                                                                                | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Yes                         | Strong                                                                                                                                            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input type="checkbox"/> Asian studies<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions                  |
| R3.5 | If expertise is not available or referral is not an option, what is the minimum level of primary care required for management of residual pockets associated with or without intrabony defects or furcation involvement after completion of steps 1 and 2 of periodontal therapy? | <b>Strongly recommend</b>                                                                                                                                                |                                                                                                                                                                          | Yes                         | Strong                                                                                                                                            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input type="checkbox"/> Asian studies<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions                  |
| R3.6 | What is the importance of adequate self-performed oral hygiene in the context of surgical periodontal treatment?                                                                                                                                                                  | <b>Strongly recommend</b>                                                                                                                                                | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Yes                         | Strong                                                                                                                                            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input type="checkbox"/> Asian studies<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions                  |
| R3.7 | What is the adequate management of residual deep pockets associated with intrabony defects?                                                                                                                                                                                       | <b>Strongly recommend</b>                                                                                                                                                | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Yes                         | Strong                                                                                                                                            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asian studies <sup>69–76</sup><br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions |
| R3.8 | What is the adequate choice of regenerative biomaterials for promoting healing of residual deep pockets associated with a deep intrabony defect?                                                                                                                                  | <b>Strongly recommend</b>                                                                                                                                                | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Yes                         | Strong                                                                                                                                            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asian studies <sup>69–78</sup><br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions |
| R3.9 | What is the adequate choice of surgical flap design for the regenerative treatment of residual deep pockets                                                                                                                                                                       | <b>Strongly recommend</b>                                                                                                                                                | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations                                             | Yes                         | Yes (two experts voted for indeterminable)                                                                                                        | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input type="checkbox"/> Asian studies<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions                  |

(continued on next page)

**Table 6** (continued)

|       |                                                                                                                                                                                                  | EFP Recommendation  | EFP Evidence Level                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Recommendation                                                   | TAP Evidence Level | Consensus level                                                                                                                                                            |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| R3.10 | What is the adequate management of molars with Class II and III furcation involvement and residual pockets?                                                                                      | Strongly recommend  | <input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Yes (treatment instead of tooth extraction should be considered) | Strong             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asian studies <sup>79–82</sup><br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions         |
| R3.11 | What is the adequate management of residual deep pockets associated with mandibular Class II furcation involvement?                                                                              | Strongly recommend  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions                                             | Yes                                                              | Strong             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asian studies <sup>80,82</sup><br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions         |
| R3.12 | What is the adequate management of residual deep pockets associated with maxillary buccal Class II furcation involvement?                                                                        | Recommend           | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions                                             | Yes                                                              | Strong             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asian studies <sup>80–82</sup><br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions         |
| R3.13 | What is the adequate choice of regenerative biomaterials for the regenerative treatment of residual deep pockets associated with Class II mandibular and maxillary buccal furcation involvement? | Strongly recommend  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions                                             | Yes                                                              | Strong             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asian studies <sup>80,82</sup><br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions         |
| R3.14 | What is the adequate management of maxillary interdental Class II furcation involvement?                                                                                                         | Open recommendation | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions                                             | Indeterminable <sup>a</sup>                                      | Strong             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asian studies <sup>79</sup><br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions |
| R3.15 | What is the adequate management of maxillary Class III furcation involvement?                                                                                                                    | Open recommendation | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions                                             | Indeterminable <sup>a</sup>                                      | Strong             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asian studies <sup>79</sup><br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions            |
| R3.16 | What is the adequate management of mandibular Class III furcation involvement?                                                                                                                   | Open recommendation | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions                                             | Indeterminable <sup>a</sup>                                      | Strong             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asian studies <sup>79</sup><br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions            |

Abbreviations: EFP: European Federation of Periodontology; TAP: Taiwan Academy of Periodontology; RCT: randomized controlled trial.

<sup>a</sup> It should be determined based on specific clinical circumstances.

**Table 7** Recommendations for the maintenance and supportive periodontal care.

|       |                                                                                                                  | EFP Recommendation  | EFP Evidence Level                                                                                                                                                       | Recommendation              | TAP Evidence Level | Consensus level                                                                                                                                                    |
|-------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| R4.2  | Is adherence to supportive periodontal care important?                                                           | Strongly recommend  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Yes                         | Strong             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asian studies <sup>6,83</sup><br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions  |
| R4.3  | Are oral hygiene instructions important? How should they be performed?                                           | Strongly recommend  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Yes                         | Strong             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asian studies <sup>84,85</sup><br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions |
| R4.4  | How should we choose an appropriate design of manual, powered toothbrushes and interdental cleaning devices?     | Strongly recommend  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Yes                         | Strong             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asian studies <sup>86,87</sup><br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions |
| R4.5  | Should we recommend a powered or a manual toothbrush?                                                            | Open recommendation | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Indeterminable <sup>a</sup> | Strong             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asian studies <sup>88,89</sup><br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions |
| R4.6  | How should interdental cleaning be performed?                                                                    | Strongly recommend  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Yes                         | Strong             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input type="checkbox"/> Asian studies<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions                             |
| R4.7  | What is the value of dental flossing for interdental cleaning in periodontal maintenance patients?               | Not recommend       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Maybe not                   | Strong             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input type="checkbox"/> Asian studies<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions                             |
| R4.8  | What is the value of other interdental devices for interdental cleaning in periodontal maintenance patients?     | Recommend           | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Maybe                       | Strong             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input type="checkbox"/> Asian studies<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions                             |
| R4.9  | What additional strategies in motivation are useful?                                                             | Strongly recommend  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Yes                         | Strong             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asian studies <sup>6</sup><br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions     |
| R4.10 | What is the value of adjunctive antiseptics/chemotherapeutic agents for the management of gingival inflammation? | Open recommendation | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Indeterminable <sup>a</sup> | Strong             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asian studies <sup>90</sup><br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions    |
| R4.11 | Should adjunctive chemotherapeutics be recommended for patients in supportive periodontal care?                  | Open recommendation | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Indeterminable <sup>a</sup> | Strong             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asian studies <sup>91</sup><br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions    |

(continued on next page)

**Table 7 (continued)**

|       |                                                                                                                                | EFP Recommendation  | EFP Evidence Level                                                                                                                                                       | Recommendation | TAP Evidence Level | Consensus level                                                                                                                                                    |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| R4.12 | Which antiseptic is the most effective in dentifrices?                                                                         | Recommend           | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Maybe          | Strong             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asian studies <sup>92,93</sup><br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions |
| R4.13 | Which antiseptic is the most effective in mouth rinses?                                                                        | Recommend           | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Maybe          | Strong             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input type="checkbox"/> Asian studies<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions                             |
| R4.14 | What is the value of professional mechanical plaque removal (PMPR) as part of SPC?                                             | Recommend           | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Maybe          | Strong             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asian studies <sup>94</sup><br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions    |
| R4.15 | Should alternative methods be used for professional mechanical plaque removal (PMPR) in supportive periodontal care?           | Not recommend       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Maybe not      | Strong             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input type="checkbox"/> Asian studies<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions                             |
| R4.16 | Should adjunctive methods be used for professional mechanical plaque removal (PMPR) in supportive periodontal care?            | Not recommend       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Maybe not      | Strong             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input type="checkbox"/> Asian studies<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions                             |
| R4.17 | What is the value of risk factor control in SPC?                                                                               | Strongly recommend  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Yes            | Strong             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asian studies <sup>6</sup><br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions     |
| R4.18 | What is the role of tobacco smoking cessation interventions in SPC?                                                            | Strongly recommend  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Yes            | Strong             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asian studies <sup>6</sup><br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions     |
| R4.19 | What is the role of promotion of diabetes control interventions in SPC?                                                        | Recommend           | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Maybe          | Strong             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asian studies <sup>95</sup><br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions    |
| R4.20 | What is the role of physical exercise (activity), dietary counselling or lifestyle modifications aiming at weight loss in SPC? | Open recommendation | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Systemic Review<br><input type="checkbox"/> RCT<br><input type="checkbox"/> Observations<br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions | Indeterminable | Strong             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EFP evidence<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asian studies <sup>96</sup><br><input type="checkbox"/> Expert opinions    |

Abbreviations: EFP: European Federation of Periodontology; TAP: Taiwan Academy of Periodontology; RCT: randomized controlled trial; SPC: supportive periodontal care.

<sup>a</sup> It should be determined based on specific clinical circumstances.

without AFS, and regular supportive periodontal care are recommended. Additionally, achieving adequate levels of self-performed oral hygiene before surgical therapy is recommended.

For deep intrabony defects with residual deep pockets, using either barrier membranes or enamel matrix derivatives with or without the addition of bone-derived grafts during surgical intervention is recommended. We also recommended the use of specific flap designs with maximum preservation of interdental soft tissue, such as papilla preservation flaps. Class II and III furcation-involved molars with deep residual pockets were suggested to be surgically treated, and for mandibular and maxillary molars with buccal Class II furcation involvement, regenerative therapy by using enamel matrix derivatives alone or bone-derived grafts with or without resorbable membranes is recommended. However, for maxillary molars with interdental Class II furcation involvement, subgingival instrumentation, AFS, periodontal regeneration, root separation or root resection may be considered. For molars with Class III furcation involvement, subgingival instrumentation, AFS, tunneling, root separation or root resection may be considered. Pocket elimination/reduction surgery has comparable therapeutic efficacy as AFS and may be considered an option for surgical periodontal therapy.

### Recommendations for the maintenance and supportive periodontal care (Table 7)

Regarding the aspects of self-performed oral hygiene practices and risk factor control, the committees generally agree with the recommendations from the EFP clinical practice guideline,<sup>1</sup> and a regular recall interval is recommended for supportive periodontal care. The visit interval should be scheduled to be 3–12 months based on the patient's risk profile and periodontal conditions. Repeated individual oral hygiene instruction sessions about how and when to use dental brushes, flossers and interdental brushes are important. Powered toothbrushes may be an alternative tool for periodontal maintenance patients; however, in patients with thin gingival phenotype, powered toothbrushes should be used under professional supervision. Clinicians can provide adequate preventive and health promotion tools to facilitate patient motivation. Short-term adjunctive antiseptics may be considered in specific conditions, and there is no strong evidence supporting the effectiveness of other adjunctive agents. Adjunctive antiseptics/chemotherapeutic agents could be used subgingivally under supervision from the specialists. To control gingival inflammation, antiseptic dentifrice containing chlorhexidine, stannous fluoride-sodium hexametaphosphate, sodium lauroylsarcosine, isopropyl methylphenol and cetylpyridinium chloride could be considered. In addition, antiseptic mouth rinse containing chlorhexidine, essential oils, and cetylpyridinium chloride could be used, but the committee do not recommend using mouthrinse containing essential oils in periodontally healthy subjects. However, the essential oils-contained mouthrinse could be a potential adjunctive therapy for patients with gingivitis. Professional mechanical plaque removal treatment and

control of risk factors, including smoking and diabetes mellitus, are important in the maintenance phase.

### Declaration of competing interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

### Acknowledgments

All authors acknowledge the support from the Taiwan Academy of Periodontology for the funding and the organization of the consensus meeting.

### References

1. Sanz M, Herrera D, Kebischull M, Chapple I, Jepsen S, Beglundh T, et al. Treatment of stage I-III periodontitis-The EFP S3 level clinical practice guideline. *J Clin Periodontol* 2020; **47**(Suppl 22):4–60.
2. Wu IT, Wu YH, Chen JT, Huang RY, Lin YC, Chou YH, et al. Treatment recommendations for stage I-III periodontitis in Taiwan. *J Taiwan Periodontol* 2020; **25**(Suppl):55–73.
3. Petersen PE, Ogawa H. The global burden of periodontal disease: towards integration with chronic disease prevention and control. *Periodontol* 2000 2012; **60**:15–39.
4. Kassebaum NJ, Bernabe E, Dahiya M, Bhandari B, Murray CJ, Marques W. Global burden of severe periodontitis in 1990–2010: a systematic review and meta-regression. *J Dent Res* 2014; **93**:1045–53.
5. Jhang YT, Chen YW, Wang CY, Tu CC, Liu CM, Kuo MY, et al. Association between initial clinical parameters and the outcome of non-surgical periodontal therapy: patient-, tooth-, and site-level analyses. *J Periodontics Impl Dent* 2019; **2**:17–24.
6. Huang KC, Lai CH, Huang CF, Lu HK. A comprehensive periodontal treatment project: the periodontal status, compliance rates, and risk factors. *J Dent Sci* 2016; **11**:182–8.
7. Chan CL, You HJ, Lian HJ, Huang CH. Patients receiving comprehensive periodontal treatment have better clinical outcomes than patients receiving conventional periodontal treatment. *J Formos Med Assoc* 2016; **115**:152–62.
8. Al-Shammari KF, Kazor CE, Wang HL. Molar root anatomy and management of furcation defects. *J Clin Periodontol* 2001; **28**:730–40.
9. Goh EXJ, Ong MMA. Anatomical, microbiological, and genetic considerations in treatment of Chinese periodontal patients. *J Investig Clin Dent* 2019; **10**:e12381.
10. Hou GL, Chen SF, Tsai CC, Huang JS. [Analysis of divergent angle and length of CEJ to furcation entrance in extracted molars]. *Kaohsiung J Med Sci* 1997; **13**:710–20.
11. Hou GL, Chen YM, Tsai CC, Weisgold AS. A new classification of molar furcation involvement based on the root trunk and horizontal and vertical bone loss. *Int J Periodontics Restor Dent* 1998; **18**:257–65.
12. Lu HK. Topographical characteristics of root trunk length related to guided tissue regeneration. *J Periodontol* 1992; **63**:215–9.
13. Gher Jr MW, Dunlap RW. Linear variation of the root surface area of the maxillary first molar. *J Periodontol* 1985; **56**:39–43.
14. Tu MG, Tsai CC, Jou MJ, Chen WL, Chang YF, Chen SY, et al. Prevalence of three-rooted mandibular first molars among Taiwanese individuals. *J Endod* 2007; **33**:1163–6.

15. Huang RY, Lin CD, Lee MS, Yeh CL, Shen EC, Chiang CY, et al. Mandibular disto-lingual root: a consideration in periodontal therapy. *J Periodontol* 2007;78:1485–90.
16. Rodriguez-Niklitschek CA, Oporto GH, Garay I, Salazar LA. Clinical, imaging and genetic analysis of double bilateral radix entomolaris. *Folia Morphol (Wars.)* 2015;74:127–32.
17. Jung RE, Ioannidis A, Hammerle CHF, Thoma DS. Alveolar ridge preservation in the esthetic zone. *Periodontol 2000* 2018;77:165–75.
18. Cortellini P, Bissada NF. Mucogingival conditions in the natural dentition: narrative review, case definitions, and diagnostic considerations. *J Clin Periodontol* 2018;45(Suppl 20):S190–8.
19. Sung CE, Cochran DL, Cheng WC, Mau LP, Huang PH, Fan WH, et al. Preoperative assessment of labial bone perforation for virtual immediate implant surgery in the maxillary esthetic zone: a computer simulation study. *J Am Dent Assoc* 2015;146:808–19.
20. Lin MH, Mau LP, Cochran DL, Shieh YS, Huang PH, Huang RY. Risk assessment of inferior alveolar nerve injury for immediate implant placement in the posterior mandible: a virtual implant placement study. *J Dent* 2014;42:263–70.
21. Tseng CC, Yang B, Pang IC, Mau LP, Huang KC, Chen CJ, et al. Geometrical characteristics on anterior loop of inferior alveolar nerve in Taiwanese. *J Taiwan Periodontol* 2008;13:11–21.
22. Mau LP, Liao SC, Chen CJ, Huang KC, Wen MJ, Pang IC, et al. Reconstruction and localization of mandibular foramen using CT image in Taiwanese. *J Taiwan Periodontol* 2010;15:149–59.
23. Wang CCLP, Liu CM. The thickness of palatal masticatory mucosa and its associated factors in Taiwanese. *J Taiwan Periodontol* 2009;14:51–62.
24. Siaw Yt ML, Chen CJ, Huang KC, Tseng CC, Pang IC, Wen MJ. Thickness of palatal masticatory mucosa using CT image in Taiwanese. *J Taiwan Periodontol* 2009;14:231–8.
25. Yamagami H, Takamori A, Sakamoto T, Okada H. Intrapocket chemotherapy in adult periodontitis using a new controlled-release insert containing ofloxacin (PT-01). *J Periodontol* 1992;63:2–6.
26. Lu HK, Chei CJ. Efficacy of subgingivally applied minocycline in the treatment of chronic periodontitis. *J Periodontal Res* 2005;40:20–7.
27. Lin SJ, Tu YK, Tsai SC, Lai SM, Lu HK. Non-surgical periodontal therapy with and without subgingival minocycline administration in patients with poorly controlled type II diabetes: a randomized controlled clinical trial. *Clin Oral Invest* 2012;16:599–609.
28. India national oral health survey 2002–2003. Dental Council of India MoHaFWI; 2004.
29. Lai H, Su CW, Yen AM, Chiu SY, Fann JC, Wu WY, et al. A prediction model for periodontal disease: modelling and validation from a National Survey of 4061 Taiwanese adults. *J Clin Periodontol* 2015;42:413–21.
30. Government Dental Service. *Oral health survey 2011: common dental diseases and oral health related behaviour*. Hong Kong: Department of Health; 2011.
31. Lee E, Lee SW. Prevalence of periodontitis and its association with reduced pulmonary function: results from the Korean national health and nutrition examination survey. *Medicina (Kaunas)* 2019;55.
32. French D, Cochran DL, Ofec R. Retrospective cohort study of 4,591 straumann implants placed in 2,060 patients in private practice with up to 10-year follow-up: the relationship between crestal bone level and soft tissue condition. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2016;31:e168–78.
33. Sun HY, Jiang H, Du MQ, Wang X, Feng XP, Hu Y, et al. The prevalence and associated factors of periodontal disease among 35 to 44-year-old Chinese adults in the 4th National Oral Health Survey. *Chin J Dent Res* 2018;21:241–7.
34. Sun H, Du M, Tai B, Chang S, Wang Y, Jiang H. Prevalence and associated factors of periodontal conditions among 55- to 74-year-old adults in China: results from the 4th National Oral Health Survey. *Clin Oral Invest* 2020;24:4403–12.
35. Kerns DG, Greenwell H, Wittwer JW, Drisko C, Williams JN, Kerns LL. Root trunk dimensions of 5 different tooth types. *Int J Periodontics Restor Dent* 1999;19:82–91.
36. Plagmann HC, Holtorf S, Kocher T. A study on the imaging of complex furcation forms in upper and lower molars. *J Clin Periodontol* 2000;27:926–31.
37. de Souza-Freitas JA, Lopes ES, Casati-Alvares L. Anatomic variations of lower first permanent molar roots in two ethnic groups. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* 1971;31:274–8.
38. Skidmore AE, Bjorndal AM. Root canal morphology of the human mandibular first molar. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* 1971;32:778–84.
39. Steelman R. Incidence of an accessory distal root on mandibular first permanent molars in Hispanic children. *ASDC (Am Soc Dent Child) J Dent Child* 1986;53:122–3.
40. Schafer E, Breuer D, Janzen S. The prevalence of three-rooted mandibular permanent first molars in a German population. *J Endod* 2009;35:202–5.
41. Sert S, Sahinkesen G, Topcu FT, Eroglu SE, Oktay EA. Root canal configurations of third molar teeth. A comparison with first and second molars in the Turkish population. *Aust Endod J* 2011;37:109–17.
42. Chandra SS, Chandra S, Shankar P, Indira R. Prevalence of radix entomolaris in mandibular permanent first molars: a study in a South Indian population. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2011;112:e77–82.
43. Chourasia HR, Meshram GK, Warhadpande M, Dakshindas D. Root canal morphology of mandibular first permanent molars in an Indian population. *Int J Dent* 2012;2012:745152.
44. Garg AK, Tewari RK, Agrawal N. Prevalence of three-rooted mandibular first molars among Indians using SCT. *Int J Dent* 2013;2013:183869.
45. Yew SC, Chan K. A retrospective study of endodontically treated mandibular first molars in a Chinese population. *J Endod* 1993;19:471–3.
46. Song JS, Choi HJ, Jung IY, Jung HS, Kim SO. The prevalence and morphologic classification of distolingual roots in the mandibular molars in a Korean population. *J Endod* 2010;36:653–7.
47. Kim SY, Yang SE. Cone-beam computed tomography study of incidence of distolingual root and distance from distolingual canal to buccal cortical bone of mandibular first molars in a Korean population. *J Endod* 2012;38:301–4.
48. Park JB, Kim N, Park S, Kim Y, Ko Y. Evaluation of root anatomy of permanent mandibular premolars and molars in a Korean population with cone-beam computed tomography. *Eur J Dermatol* 2013;7:94–101.
49. Tu MG, Huang HL, Hsue SS, Hsu JT, Chen SY, Jou MJ, et al. Detection of permanent three-rooted mandibular first molars by cone-beam computed tomography imaging in Taiwanese individuals. *J Endod* 2009;35:503–7.
50. Chen G, Yao H, Tong C. Investigation of the root canal configuration of mandibular first molars in a Taiwan Chinese population. *Int Endod J* 2009;42:1044–9.
51. Chen YC, Lee YY, Pai SF, Yang SF. The morphologic characteristics of the distolingual roots of mandibular first molars in a Taiwanese population. *J Endod* 2009;35:643–5.
52. Huang CC, Chang YC, Chuang MC, Lai TM, Lai JY, Lee BS, et al. Evaluation of root and canal systems of mandibular first molars in Taiwanese individuals using cone-beam computed tomography. *J Formos Med Assoc* 2010;109:303–8.
53. Huang RY, Cheng WC, Chen CJ, Lin CD, Lai TM, Shen EC, et al. Three-dimensional analysis of the root morphology of mandibular first molars with distolingual roots. *Int Endod J* 2010;43:478–84.

54. Wu YC, Su CC, Tsai YC, Cheng WC, Chung MP, Chiang HS, et al. Complicated root canal configuration of mandibular first premolars is correlated with the presence of the distolingual root in mandibular first molars: a cone-beam computed tomographic study in Taiwanese individuals. *J Endod* 2017;43: 1064–71.
55. Hanioka T, Ojima M, Tanaka H, Naito M, Hamajima N, Matsuse R. Intensive smoking-cessation intervention in the dental setting. *J Dent Res* 2010;89:66–70.
56. Lu HK, Yang PC. Cross-sectional analysis of different variables of patients with non-insulin dependent diabetes and their periodontal status. *Int J Periodontics Restor Dent* 2004;24: 71–9.
57. Omori S, Uchida F, Oh S, So R, Tsujimoto T, Yanagawa T, et al. Exercise habituation is effective for improvement of periodontal disease status: a prospective intervention study. *Therapeutic Clin Risk Manag* 2018;14:565–74.
58. Najeeb S, Zafar MS, Khurshid Z, Zohaib S, Almas K. The role of nutrition in periodontal health: an update. *Nutrients* 2016;8: 530.
59. Lakkis D, Bissada NF, Saber A, Khaitan L, Palomo L, Narendran S, et al. Response to periodontal therapy in patients who had weight loss after bariatric surgery and obese counterparts: a pilot study. *J Periodontol* 2012;83:684–9.
60. Izumi Y, Hiwatashi-Horinouchi K, Furuichi Y, Sueda T. Influence of different curette insertion depths on the outcome of non-surgical periodontal treatment. *J Clin Periodontol* 1999;26: 716–22.
61. Tsang YC, Corbet EF, Jin LJ. Subgingival glycine powder air-polishing as an additional approach to nonsurgical periodontal therapy in subjects with untreated chronic periodontitis. *J Periodontal Res* 2018;53:440–5.
62. Sugaya T, Kawanami M, Kato H. Effects of debridement with an ultrasonic furcation tip in degree II furcation involvement of mandibular molars. *J Int Acad Periodontol* 2002;4:138–42.
63. Wang D, Koshy G, Nagasawa T, Kawashima Y, Kiji M, Nitta H, et al. Antibody response after single-visit full-mouth ultrasonic debridement versus quadrant-wise therapy. *J Clin Periodontol* 2006;33:632–8.
64. Liu CM, Hou LT, Wong MY, Lan WH. Comparison of Nd:YAG laser versus scaling and root planing in periodontal therapy. *J Periodontol* 1999;70:1276–82.
65. Chen YW, Hsieh O, Chen YA, Chiou LL, Chang PC. Randomized controlled clinical effectiveness of adjunct 660-nm light-emitting diode irradiation during non-surgical periodontal therapy. *J Formos Med Assoc* 2020;119:157–63.
66. Ge L, Shu R, Li Y, Li C, Luo L, Song Z, et al. Adjunctive effect of photodynamic therapy to scaling and root planing in the treatment of chronic periodontitis. *Photomed Laser Surg* 2011; 29:33–7.
67. Malgikar S, Reddy SH, Sagar SV, Satyanarayana D, Reddy GV, Josephin JJ. Clinical effects of photodynamic and low-level laser therapies as an adjunct to scaling and root planing of chronic periodontitis: a split-mouth randomized controlled clinical trial. *Indian J Dent Res* 2016;27:121–6.
68. Goh EX, Tan KS, Chan YH, Lim LP. Effects of root debridement and adjunctive photodynamic therapy in residual pockets of patients on supportive periodontal therapy: a randomized split-mouth trial. *Photodiagnosis Photodyn Ther* 2017;18: 342–8.
69. Fujinami K, Hayakawa H, Ota K, Ida A, Nikaido M, Makiishi T, et al. Two-year follow-up of treatment of intrabony periodontal defect with enamel matrix derivative. *Bull Tokyo Dent Coll* 2011;52:215–21.
70. Hou LT, Yan JJ, Tsai AY, Lao CS, Lin SJ, Liu CM. Polymer-assisted regeneration therapy with Atrisorb barriers in human periodontal intrabony defects. *J Clin Periodontol* 2004;31: 68–74.
71. Mitani A, Takasu H, Horibe T, Furuta H, Nagasaka T, Aino M, et al. Five-year clinical results for treatment of intrabony defects with EMD, guided tissue regeneration and open-flap debridement: a case series. *J Periodontal Res* 2015;50: 123–30.
72. Okuda K, Momose M, Miyazaki A, Murata M, Yokoyama S, Yonezawa Y, et al. Enamel matrix derivative in the treatment of human intrabony osseous defects. *J Periodontol* 2000;72: 1821–8.
73. Park JS, Suh JJ, Choi SH, Moon IS, Cho KS, Kim CK, et al. Effects of pretreatment clinical parameters on bioactive glass implantation in intrabony periodontal defects. *J Periodontol* 2001;72:730–40.
74. Saito A, Hayakawa H, Ota K, Fujinami K, Nikaido M, Makiishi T. Treatment of periodontal defects with enamel matrix derivative: clinical evaluation at early healing stages. *Bull Tokyo Dent Coll* 2010;51:85–93.
75. Seshima F, Aoki H, Takeuchi T, Suzuki E, Irokawa D, Makino-Oi A, et al. Periodontal regenerative therapy with enamel matrix derivative in the treatment of intrabony defects: a prospective 2-year study. *BMC Res Notes* 2017;10:256.
76. Narita M, Namba S, Tatsumi J, Kami Y, Ishii M, Matsuda A, et al. Six-month clinical evaluation of periodontal tissue regeneration using enamel matrix derivative (EMD). *J Jpn Soc Periodontol* 2009;51:316–25.
77. Ogihara S, Tarnow DP. Efficacy of enamel matrix derivative with freeze-dried bone allograft or demineralized freeze-dried bone allograft in intrabony defects: a randomized trial. *J Periodontol* 2014;85:1351–60.
78. Yadav VS, Narula SC, Sharma RK, Tewari S, Yadav R. Clinical evaluation of guided tissue regeneration combined with autogenous bone or autogenous bone mixed with bioactive glass in intrabony defects. *J Oral Sci* 2011;53:481–8.
79. Hou GL, Tsai CC, Weisgold AS. Treatment of molar furcation involvement using root separation and a crown and sleeve-telescopic denture. A longitudinal study. *J Periodontol* 1999;70:1098–109.
80. Jang Chae-Yun LJ-M, Suh Jo-Young. A clinical comparison of nonresorbable and resorbable membrane in the treatment of human Class II furcation defects. *J Korean Acad Periodontol* 2001;31:689–711.
81. Ling LJ, Pack AR, Holborow DW. Guided tissue regeneration with and without demineralized freeze-dried bone allografts for maxillary Class II furca invasions of rapidly progressive periodontitis. *Zhonghua Yi Xue Za Zhi (Taipei)* 2000;63: 855–63.
82. Pajnigara NG, Kolte AP, Kolte RA, Pajnigara NG. Volumetric assessment of regenerative efficacy of demineralized freeze-dried bone allograft with or without amnion membrane in grade II furcation defects: a cone beam computed tomography Study. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent* 2017;37:255–62.
83. Zhang Y, Luan Q. [Effect of supportive periodontal therapy in maintaining the long-term effect of the initial therapy]. *Beijing Da Xue Xue Bao Yi Xue Ban* 2011;43:29–33.
84. Saito A, Hosaka Y, Kikuchi M, Akamatsu M, Fukaya C, Matsumoto S, et al. Effect of initial periodontal therapy on oral health-related quality of life in patients with periodontitis in Japan. *J Periodontol* 2010;81:1001–9.
85. Arunakul M. Effectiveness of an oral hygiene education program combined with fluoride mouthrinse among visually impaired students in Bangkok, Thailand. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Publ Health* 2015;46:354.
86. Chongcharoen N, Lulic M, Lang NP. Effectiveness of different interdental brushes on cleaning the interproximal surfaces of teeth and implants: a randomized controlled, double-blind cross-over study. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2012;23:635–40.
87. Lv J, Guo B, Ling JQ. A 6-month clinical evaluation of a high frequency sonic toothbrush in comparison with an

- oscillating-rotating power toothbrush and a traditional sonic toothbrush in reducing gingivitis and plaque. *Am J Dent* 2018;31:171–6.
88. Li Z, He T, Li C, Sun LL, Chang JL, He YY, et al. A randomized 3-month clinical comparison of a power toothbrush to a manual toothbrush in the reduction of gingivitis. *Am J Dent* 2016;29:193–6.
89. Ikeda T, Yoshizawa K, Takahashi K, Ishida C, Komai K, Kobayashi K, et al. Effectiveness of electric toothbrushing in patients with neuromuscular disability: a randomized observer-blind crossover trial. *Spec Care Dent* 2016;36:13–7.
90. Pelekos G, Ho SN, Acharya A, Leung WK, McGrath C. A double-blind, paralleled-arm, placebo-controlled and randomized clinical trial of the effectiveness of probiotics as an adjunct in periodontal care. *J Clin Periodontol* 2019;46:1217–27.
91. Dhaliwal PK, Grover V, Malhotra R, Kapoor A. Clinical and microbiological investigation of the effects of probiotics combined with scaling and root planing in the management of chronic periodontitis: a randomized, controlled Study. *J Int Acad Periodontol* 2017;19:101–8.
92. Lin JT, Tsai CH, Yang LC, Chang YC. Clinical efficacy of phase I therapy combined with a triclosan/copolymer dentifrice on generalized chronic periodontitis. *J Dent Sci* 2010;5:216–20.
93. Kita D, Kinumatsu T, Yokomizo A, Tanaka M, Egawa M, Makino-Oi A, et al. Clinical effect of a dentifrice containing three kinds of bactericidal ingredients on periodontal disease: a pilot study in patients undergoing supportive periodontal therapy. *BMC Res Notes* 2018;11:116.
94. Wang TF, Fang CH, Hsiao KJ, Chou C. Effect of a comprehensive plan for periodontal disease care on oral health-related quality of life in patients with periodontal disease in Taiwan. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2018;97:e9749.
95. Leung WK, Movva LR, Wong MC, Corbet EF, Siu SC, Kawamura M. Health behaviour, metabolic control and periodontal status in medically treated Chinese with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Ann Roy Australas Coll Dent Surg* 2008;19:102–10.
96. Saengtipbovorn S, Taneepanichskul S. Effectiveness of lifestyle change plus dental care program in improving glycemic and periodontal status in aging patients with diabetes: a cluster, randomized, controlled trial. *J Periodontol* 2015;86:507–15.